Stapleton Development Corporation
Board of Directors
May 23, 2013
7:30a.m.
7350 E. 29th Avenue, Suite 300
Denver, CO 80238

Agenda

I. Approval of April 25, 2013 Minutes  King Harris
II. Chairman's Report  King Harris
III. Financial Report  Rick Gonzales
IV. Management Report  Cheryl Cohen-Vader
V. CAB Report  Khadija Haynes / David Netz
VI. City Updates (Denver, Aurora, CC)
VII. Updates from Other Stapleton Related Entities
VIII. Questions & Comments from Written Reports
IX. Feedback from the Board Members
X. Public Comment
XI. Executive Session
XII. Adjourn

The next SDC Board meeting is scheduled for Thursday, June 27, 2013 @ 7:30am.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. MINUTES

2. FINANCIAL REPORTS

3. MANAGEMENT REPORT

4. FOREST CITY REPORT

5. STAPLETON FOUNDATION REPORT

6. WORK FORCE REPORT

7. MISCELLANEOUS
INSERT UNDER TAB #1
Stapleton Development Corporation
Board of Directors Meeting
April 25, 2013

Board Members Present: Nadine Caldwell, King Harris, David Hart, Michael Kearns, Kevin Marchman, Stephen Miller, John Moye, Scott Prestidge, Justin Ross, Terry Whitney

In Attendance: Cheryl Cohen-Vader, Bar Chadwick

Meeting called to order at 7:39 a.m. by Chairman King Harris

I. March 28, 2013 minutes approved as presented.

II. Financial Report
Rick Gonzales gave the Financial Report for all activity through March 31, 2013. The Organization is operating within Budget. Activity is unremarkable. Audit will be presented for approval at the May 2013 meeting. A motion to accept the Financial Report was made and properly seconded. Motion passed.

III. Management Report
Cheryl Cohen-Vader provided a written report that was included in the Board packet.

IV. Special Presentation – Stapleton Neighborhood Demographics – Elizabeth Garner
Elizabeth Garner, State Demographer for Colorado, provided a detailed presentation regarding statistical facts about the Stapleton neighborhood and its residents (report attached). Cheryl Cohen-Vader will provide an electronic copy of the report to the Board.

V. Executive Session
A motion was made that the Board go into an Executive Session under Colorado Revised Statutes, Section 24-6-402(4)(e), “Determining positions relative to matters that may be subject to negotiations; developing strategy for negotiations; and instructing negotiators.” The motion was seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

Executive Session Held at 8:48 A.M.

Open Session
At 9:11 A.M. a motion to return to Open Session was made and properly seconded. Motion passed.

Adjournment
Meeting adjourned at 9:11 A.M.

Minutes prepared by T. Holloway
The next SDC Board meeting will be held
Thursday, May 23, 2013 at 7:30 A.M.
in the SDC Offices located at
7350 E. 29th Avenue, Suite 300, Denver, CO 80238.
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The reports listed below are included in the monthly Board of Director’s package.

1. Budget Variance as of April 30, 2013 - CASH basis.
2. Unaudited Balance Sheet as of April 30, 2013 - ACCRUAL basis.

### Comments on cash basis budget variances for the month just ended:

- **Change in cash:** a $114,649 decrease in cash was budgeted, a $109,292 decrease was realized. Material components of the $5,357 positive variance are identified below.
- **Land Sales and Transfers - as shown below.** All funds passed through to DIA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Month Acres</th>
<th>Year to Date Acres</th>
<th>Annual Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 54 developable acres taken down for Filing 42. North of I70 west of filing 36. Approximately 3.5 acres of open space taken down in the same area. Open space land is conveyed at zero cost.
- **Net revenue:** $905 negative variance.
  - Variance attributable to late receipt of rent.
- **Overhead Expenses:** $3,788 positive variance.
  - Office services expenses are less than budget.
  - Payment of audit fees is delayed pending completion of audit in May.
- **O&M Expenses:** $2,474 positive variance:
  - all operations and maintenance expenditure categories are less than budget.

### Comments on accrual basis Balance Sheet for the month just ended:

- **Accounts Receivable-Other:** $11,891
  - Surveying costs paid by SDC to be reimbursed by DIA when the surveyed land is taken down.
- **Accounts Receivable-Tenants:** $6,540
  - Past due rent from a lease tenant.
  - Security deposit and rent due from Forest City. No collections issues are expected.
- **Prepaid Expenses (asset):** $77,940
  - 2 month of prepaid rent and staff services.
  - Unamortized insurance premiums.
- **Liabilities:** $25,308
  - Security deposits held under various lease agreements. A substantial portion of these deposits will be transferred to Forest City once all legal documentation for the transfer of a lease to Forest City is complete.
  - Accounts payable.

### General Comments

- None
### Stapleton Development Corporation

**Budget Comparison - Cash Basis**  
For the 4 Months Ended April 30, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Monthly Budget</th>
<th>Current Monthly Actual</th>
<th>Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)</th>
<th>YTD Budget</th>
<th>YTD Actual</th>
<th>Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1,083,277</td>
<td>$1,083,277</td>
<td></td>
<td>$390,600</td>
<td>$2,470,074</td>
<td>$2,079,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,083,277)</td>
<td>(1,083,277)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(390,600)</td>
<td>(2,470,074)</td>
<td>(2,079,474)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,205</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$955</td>
<td>$4,819</td>
<td>$3,864</td>
<td>$955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,205</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$955</td>
<td>$4,819</td>
<td>$3,864</td>
<td>$955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,237</td>
<td>$331</td>
<td>$905</td>
<td>$4,964</td>
<td>$18,811</td>
<td>$13,847</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OVERHEAD EXPENSES

#### G & A Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$57,407</td>
<td>$57,111</td>
<td>$296</td>
<td>$114,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6,083</td>
<td>$6,084</td>
<td>($1)</td>
<td>$12,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,258</td>
<td>1,499</td>
<td>1,759</td>
<td>15,921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$66,756</td>
<td>$64,701</td>
<td>$2,055</td>
<td>$142,801</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Professional Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$117</td>
<td>($17)</td>
<td>$10,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,850</td>
<td>$117</td>
<td>1,733</td>
<td>$13,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Overhead Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$68,606</td>
<td>$64,818</td>
<td>$3,788</td>
<td>$156,701</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES

#### Direct Property Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$518</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$335</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$978</td>
<td>$111</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,411</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>$84,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,825</td>
<td>$2,826</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$46,301</td>
<td>$44,694</td>
<td>$1,607</td>
<td>$96,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$47,279</td>
<td>$44,805</td>
<td>$2,474</td>
<td>$99,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$115,885</td>
<td>$109,623</td>
<td>$6,262</td>
<td>$256,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$114,649</td>
<td>$109,292</td>
<td>$5,357</td>
<td>$211,397</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$46,301</td>
<td>$44,694</td>
<td>$1,607</td>
<td>$96,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$47,279</td>
<td>$44,805</td>
<td>$2,474</td>
<td>$99,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$115,885</td>
<td>$109,623</td>
<td>$6,262</td>
<td>$256,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$114,649</td>
<td>$109,292</td>
<td>$5,357</td>
<td>$211,397</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stapleton Development Corporation
Balance Sheet
As of April 30, 2013

Assets

Current Assets
SDC Cash & Investments $ 691,566
A/R Other 11,891
Tenant Receivables 6,540
Prepaid Expenses 77,940
$ 787,937

Total Assets $ 787,937

Liabilities

Accounts Payable 980
Security Deposit Liability 24,327
$ 25,308

Capital

Retained Earnings 7,435,705
Voluntary Distributions to DIA (6,517,225)
Net Income (Loss) (155,850)
$ 762,630

Total Liabilities and Capital $ 787,937

Unaudited
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CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD  
MEETING MINUTES  
May 16, 2013  
7:30 a.m. – 9:00 a.m.  
7350 E. 29th Ave., Suite 300  

Present: Rene Bullock, Bar Chadwick, Lucia Correll, Laura Edler, John Fernandez, Scott Gilmore, Khadija Haynes, Chris Herndon, Alice Kelly, Damon Knop, Jeff LaMontagne, Steve Larson, David Lucas, Barbara Neal, David Netz, Dan Oltersdorf, Farrah Peek, Dennis Piper, Carol Roberts, Michael Sennett, Joshua Solis, Jim Wagenlander, FC: Tom Gleason, SCRG: Kate Kramer, SF: Bev Haddon, SDC:  

Call to Order: David Netz called the meeting to order. Those present were asked to introduce themselves for the new members, Rene Bullock and David Lucas. The April 18, 2013, minutes were approved unanimously.  

Co-Chair Report:  

Partner Cities Updates:  

City of Denver: No written report. Bar Chadwick reported that the meeting, regarding the portion of Westerly Creek that has already been constructed by Denver, between Aurora staff and herself was very fruitful. There will be a stakeholders meeting in June.  

City of Aurora: No written report. John Fernandez stated that the building of the bridge over Westerly Creek at Montview Blvd. will take several properties out of the flood plain. Denver is the lead on the Colfax Corridor Connections project, looking at ways to improve transit from Auraria campus to Fitzsimons. The technologies have been narrowed down to: enhanced buses, bus rapid transit and street cars. The process is down to working out how the design implications will affect Colfax. There should be public meetings rather soon as the final announcement is expected to be made in July. The light rail project has hit a snag regarding electromagnetic interference and vibrations and its impact on the various research operations on the Fitzsimons campus. The only apparent solution at the present time is to divert the light rail from Montview Blvd to the Fitzsimons Parkway. If it were relocated from the Montview corridor, a new environmental review process would have to be reopened and the project would be delayed.  

Committee Reports:  

- Communications: No report.
- **Design Review:** No written report. Laura Edler asked to be kept in the loop regarding the construction of the bridge over Westerly Creek at Montview Blvd, because it affects the East Montclair neighborhood. John Fernandez will send a link to the website, that keeps current information on that construction, to Pennie Goodman to be distributed to the entire group.

All of the Design Review meetings for the last couple of months have been with DPS. Even though DPS does not have to go through the design process, they have been following it.

- **Housing Diversity:** No report.

- **Membership:** Written report submitted
Lucia Correll presented a list of acronyms, used by the committee on a regular basis, for new members and others.
Also, she suggested a mentorship program that would pair new CAB members with more seasoned. Nadine Caldwell will be the mentor for Rene Bullock of Commerce City, and Kate Kramer will mentor David Lucas of the Rocky Mountain Arsenal.

Lucia asked that the membership committee be able to review the applications of new members so that they don’t have to wait for full CAB approval which might take two, or more, months. The committee has an orientation process that they would like new members to go through as soon as they are approved for membership.

- **Parks Advisory Group:** Written report submitted.
Lucia Correll stated that Scott Gilmore will head a sub-committee to develop a survey, that will run through the SUN survey process, to determine the community’s likes and dislikes about the current parks.

About 50 members of the neighborhood partners environmental team worked on the first Friday of May at Bluff Lake and Sand Creek, upstream of the resident beavers who do a good job of collecting debris in their dams and stop it from going downstream. About 18 people hours were used to clean up the debris.
The UCD landscaping class looked at an area from Peoria to Westerly Creek and from 25th to 26th Avenues. Broken up into smaller groups, they each took a portion of the area and developed a plan and called a community meeting to look at their plan. It is hoped that they will develop a composite plan to present in the fall.

What happens after P8 and P9?
Scott Gilmore reported that Denver Parks and Rec installed raptor poles east of Bill Roberts School and in Northfield in the hopes of controlling the prairie dog population and to keep them out of the drainage. And they are installing a barrier between the current and future Westerly Creek Parks. Any prairie dogs found on the south side of that area will be “removed”.
- **Zoning & Planning:** No written report.
  David Netz reported that Lee Ferguson presented on Phase II of the Aster Town Center Apartments which are immediately north of the current Aster apartments. There would no longer be on street access to the apartments, which will accept pets, and which will have 81 – 1 bedroom, and 54 – 2 bedroom apartments on 4.3 acres.
  Forest City is considering building apartments to the north of the Village Green at Town Center. If a decision is made to build these apartments then they will delay the building of Aster Phase II.

- **Transportation:** Written report submitted.
  Joshua Solis of Denver Transit Partners (DTP) reported on the construction occurring as a result of the light rail at grade crossings at Havana and Smith Road – which is expected to take about 6 weeks from May 17. DTP is providing signage for The Urban Farm, Bluff Lake Nature Center and Sand Creek Regional Greenway Project. There will be signage off of I-70 also. A public meeting was scheduled for the evening of May 16 at SDC.
  Another closing of Havana is expected in the early fall – September or October – which will not affect Smith Road. Discussion regarding access in and out of the jail at Smith Road and Havana followed.

**SDC Update:** No report.

**Forest City Update:** No written report.
Tom Gleason reported that it is necessary to put forth the message that Stapleton is one, unified community so that one side of I-70 is not pitted against the other.
The topic of greatest interest, at the SUN/CAB forum, was schools, particularly the high school. Kathy Epperson gave an update on recent meetings with Tom Boasberg, superintendent of DPS, who indicated that any Stapleton resident who wanted to go to a Stapleton school will be able to do so.
At 26th Avenue Park, the street connections are well underway and should be completed by September 1.
The 2-acre park should be completed by the end of the year.
Forest City is working with MCA on the cultural center – what it should be, where it should be, should it be completed in phases or not, etc.
In the development of Conservatory Green, with its appealing organic nature, there will be events at the Visitors Center that The Urban Farm is going to be invited to along with some of their educational programs.
Barbara Neal reported that the conservation and regular maintenance on the *Prairie Reef* sculpture, located just north of Montview on Central Park Blvd., and the minor repairs on the steel portion on the *Eye on the Horizon* sculpture on 29th and Xenia Street have been completed.
Jim Wagenlander suggested that there should be some sort of celebration held when the connections are completed in the fall. Bev Haddon stated that the TMA and the Stapleton Foundation are working on an event and would welcome CAB’s participation in the planning, etc. Jim volunteered to work on the planning committee.
Stapleton Foundation Update: Written report submitted.
Bev Haddon reported that there were very few CAB members at the SUN/CAB forum on May 2 and stated that it is important that CAB members attend the 2 forums each year.
Bev distributed 3 concept drawings of proposed schools – Northfield High School, the school at Conservatory Green, and the “Isabella Byrd” community school which will serve the population of the Eastbridge neighborhood.
Discussion on the proposed schools followed.
The school board was scheduled to vote on whether to move the McAuliffe School to the Smiley Middle School building that same evening, May 16.
There was a meeting May 15 on diversity in the schools that was extremely well attended by both Park Hill and Stapleton parents. The purpose of the meeting was to develop understanding of different cultures and groups and to build bridges between differing groups. They have decided to have another meeting. In addition, they would like to develop a northeast diversity/inclusivity group to begin to build those bridges and bring people together.

Stapleton Partner Updates:

- **Police Academy/Denver Police District 2:** No report.

- **Stapleton United Neighbors:** No written report.
  Damon Knop reported on the SUN/CAB forum. The hot button items were schools and the RTD 89 line.
  David Netz announced that one of the discussions being held between SUN and CAB is a joint SUN/CAB board meeting this summer, revisiting the green book, to get a sense of Stapleton as it goes through the transition from a fully planned community to a community of 10,000 residents.

- **Master Community Association:** No report.

- **Bluff Lake Nature Center:** No written report.
  Jeff LaMontagne reported that *the Race for Open Space* on April 20 had 600 people.
  The summer camps are getting ready to start. Fireside chats start May 16.
  John Fielder’s workshop was the weekend of May 11-12.

- **Sand Creek Regional Greenway:** Written report submitted.

- **The Urban Farm:** No written report.
Khadija Haynes reported that summer program at TUF begins the first of June. The light rail construction will have a large impact on the farm. CDOT signs will point to the farm, Bluff Lake and Sand Creek.

- **Rocky Mountain Arsenal:** Written report submitted.
  David Lucas reported that SDC will receive a letter to be a cooperating agency on the arsenal’s Master Plan.
  Only recycled water will be used at the arsenal – 300 million gallons will be available in the potable water line. It will eventually go out to DIA and elsewhere.
  The City of Denver submitted grant applications for FLAP (Federal Land Access Program), co-sponsored by the arsenal. The grant would provide $4 to $5 million for the 56th Avenue expansion and trails along it.
  The arsenal is working with Forest City on the community work day.

- **Adjourned:**
  The meeting was adjourned. The next meeting of the Citizens Advisory Board will be **June 20, 2013 @ 7:30 a.m.**
How a plan for open space near Stapleton became open season on prairie dogs

By Alan Prendergast
published: May 09, 2013

More than most people, perhaps, Stapleton resident Patricia Olson feels a strong connection to animals. A veterinarian and former CEO of the world’s largest nonprofit dedicated to animal health sciences, she’s helped fund research studies on everything from housecats to sea lions to gorillas.

But even though she lives in a place that was once a vast grassland, Olson had never paid much attention to prairie dogs. Not until a contractor hired by Forest City, the developer in charge of transforming Stapleton from a decommissioned airport into a mixed-use, amenity-stuffed community of tomorrow, began gassing them right around the corner from her home.

A yipping, thriving colony of black-tailed prairie dogs had taken over a vacant stretch of land on the south side of East 26th Avenue, the dividing line between Aurora and Denver on the east side of Stapleton. Like many neighbors, Olson had become so accustomed to the colony that she drove slowly on 26th to avoid mashing the occasional stray darting in and out of the street. But one day, close to Thanksgiving 2011, she came home to find the land being prepped for construction and men sealing up the burrows.

The men explained to Olson that the area was slated to become a children’s playground and “natural park.” But first they had to exterminate the prairie dogs.

"I tried to find out why they were doing it and what poison they were using," Olson recalls. "And that’s when I got really upset."

Over the next few months, Olson read up on prairie dogs — their behavior and their role as a keystone species in the shortgrass prairie ecosystem, providing food and habitat for a wide range of animals, insects and plants. She learned more than she wanted to know about the poison the men were using: aluminum phosphide, a rodenticide that, when ingested, produces highly toxic phosphine gas, internal bleeding — and, in some cases, an agonizingly slow death.

She also contacted biologists, prairie-dog advocate groups and neighborhood associations, and realized that she was hardly the only one alarmed. Current eradication efforts around the
metro area range from the march of RTD’s FasTracks light-rail projects through miles of prairie-dog habitat to battles over small colonies abutting ballfields or park land to several areas of conflict within Stapleton itself. One teacher took 23 first-graders on a “nature walk” to another colony in Stapleton, only to find workers packing the burrows with poison — a grimmer lesson in urban-wildlife management than the kids had anticipated. An older class on a field trip discovered abandoned canisters of aluminum phosphide, labeled KEEP OUT OF REACH OF CHILDREN, lying around the burrows on East 26th Avenue.

Last fall, after photographing some of the canisters herself, Olson filed a complaint with the Colorado Department of Agriculture, which regulates the use of aluminum phosphide in pest control. John Scott, manager of the agency’s pesticides program, says the investigation is ongoing, but points out that the poison was applied a year before the complaint. "We determined there was no immediate public or environmental potential for harm," he says.

The company switched poisons as a result of the complaints, notes Forest City spokesman Tom Gleason: "Some of the animal activists told us that they thought carbon monoxide was more humane, so we went to that."

Choice of lethal compounds aside, Olson thought the entire procedure smacked of bad policy. A recent study in Science suggests that prairie dogs that lose close kin disperse further, possibly in search of their lost relatives; sure enough, in recent months new burrows have appeared on 26th, flanking either side of the playground under construction. And what’s the point, Olson wondered, of creating a "natural" park in which one of the most essential natural components has been removed?

"If you poison them, they go looking for Aunt Mildred and disperse," Olson says. "That's exactly what happened. It's not effective. It's not humane. So what are they doing?"

Despite the outcry, developers and park managers are doing what they've always done with Colorado's prairie dogs: waging war on them as if dealing with mosquitoes or noxious weeds. Ranchers have long regarded the lowly rodent as a flea-bitten, grass-stripping, plague-infested nuisance, and that distorted characterization has strongly shaped how urban as well as rural colonies are treated. For a keystone species, prairie dogs have virtually no protection from annihilation, particularly on private land; with little fuss, they can be shot, poisoned or even buried alive with bulldozers. State law makes it extremely difficult to relocate them across county lines, and surveys indicate that their habitat along the Front Range is becoming increasingly fragmented.

Yet few agencies that deal with wildlife seem inclined to develop a long-range management plan for prairie dogs, preferring an exterminate-as-needed approach. Both Denver Parks and Recreation and the Stapleton Development Corporation have drafted detailed management plans but failed to fully implement them. The disparity between what was conceived on paper and the emerging reality is particularly acute in Stapleton, which promised its residents extensive open space and natural areas.
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and now is redefining a notion of "natural" that may be mostly devoid of one of the neighborhood's most industrious natives.

Once park land is acquired and developed on the Denver side of Stapleton, it's turned over to Parks and Recreation for management. But Ashley DeLaup, a former wildlife ecologist with the department, says Parks and Recreation has "definitely gone in a different direction" since her position was eliminated two years ago. Mayor Michael Hancock and new parks chief Lauri Dannemiller have pushed for a controversial land swap that would give the Denver Public Schools 11.5 acres of previously designated open space in the Cherry Creek corridor for development in exchange for an administrative building in central Denver; Hancock has referred to the Hentzell Park natural area as "blighted" and overrun with prairie dogs. Parks and Recreation has also mounted an effort to "manage" prairie dogs in emerging park areas of Stapleton by euthanizing them.

The prairie-dog plan that DeLaup worked on called for a range of non-lethal solutions, including barriers and vegetation management, to keep colonies from spreading. "In Stapleton, I think we were doing a pretty good job," she says. "It's one of the more successful ecosystems in Denver. But getting rid of prairie dogs will impact the ecosystem in a negative fashion."

"The view now seems to be, 'Let's have native plant gardens. This isn't really a prairie; we're just going to make it look like one.' But the animals are going to be there, and if we don't consider that, we're going to have increased conflicts."

*******

A fierce and abiding hatred of prairie dogs is so deeply ingrained in some areas of Colorado that it could almost be considered a state tradition. Ranchers have always loathed them, calling them varmints and vermin, and that was good enough for state lawmakers, who obligingly enacted legislation calling for their mass extermination. One 1927 law declares a state of emergency "so urgent that public necessity demands that prompt, efficacious, and summary action be taken under the police power of the state to control, suppress and eradicate such rodents in the areas infested by them."

Colorado's Department of Agriculture still labels prairie dogs as "destructive rodent pests." Yet over time, a body of research has piled up that supports a reappraisal of the prairie dog, a rodent that's more closely related to a squirrel than a rat. They're a staple of the diet of coyotes, foxes, hawks, eagles and the endangered black-footed ferret. Their digging can tear up vegetation and has been described (unfairly, activists insist) as a hazard to livestock, but it also provides nesting areas for the mountain plover and the burrowing owl.

Prairie dogs also have one of the most sophisticated languages in the animal kingdom. Their impressive array of distinct barks and yips comprise a detailed warning system to alert others to the arrival of different predators or other threats. "Prairie dogs are amazing," says Taylor Jones, endangered-species advocate for WildEarth Guardians. "They're like us. They talk, they yell, they like to be close to their families. They like to build houses in the same places we do. And they do ridiculous things."

Their ecological value is recognized in the state's comprehensive wildlife-conservation strategy, which describes all three species of prairie dogs found in Colorado as "species of greatest conservation need." The need stems from the inexorable decimation of the prairie dog's historic range, which once stretched over more than a hundred million acres from Canada to Mexico. They can now be found in greatly diminished pockets of habitat in twelve western and Midwestern states; one recent study of 384 habitat fragments remaining in south Denver found that a third of them had been wiped out by development in just five years.

"Just imagine a bunch of polka dots across those states," says Jones, who issues an annual "Report From the Burrow," grading state and federal prairie-dog conservation efforts. "A lot of them are really
isolated and far away from each other. Compared to what they used to be, there's been a 98 or 99 percent reduction in their population."

Statewide, Colorado doesn't come off too badly in Jones's rating system, earning a C+ this year; most states didn't get a passing grade. "Colorado is actually one of the better states, and that's kind of a sad commentary on how the prairie dog is faring," Jones says. "It's difficult when there aren't any meaningful restrictions on poisoning them. One developer can make the decision to put poison all over the landscape and kill wildlife."

A reason that's often given for eradication efforts is the supposed "health risk" involved in having colonies close to urban development, since prairie dogs are highly susceptible to plague. It's one of the justifications, along with preventing damage to surrounding vegetation, offered by park officials for a recent extermination campaign in the area of Stapleton's Westerly Creek Park. "When you have a trail that goes to a prairie-dog colony," says Denver Parks and Recreation deputy director Scott Gilmore, "and you've got people walking their dogs and children — my fear is a child or dog picking up a dead prairie dog and someone gets bubonic plague."

But prairie-dog advocates say the plague concerns are overblown. The most common transmissions of plague from animals to humans involve hunters not taking proper precautions while skinning small game. Plague conveyed to people by prairie dogs is rare, and flea-powder dustings of colonies have proven effective in preventing outbreaks. Parks and Recreation's own draft management plan for prairie dogs notes that plague hasn't been seen in Denver's prairie-dog population since 1982.

"Prairie dogs don't have any immunity to plague, so they're not a carrier," says Lindsey Sterling-Krank, director of the Boulder-based Prairie Dog Coalition. "They experience almost a hundred percent mortality rate, sometimes within 24 hours. They're more an indicator that plague is in the area. But plague is all around us, in any number of species."

Longtime prairie-dog activist Danny Rifkin has seen the plague card played frequently in public debates over prairie dogs. "There's a campaign of hatred and misinformation out there," he says. "From what I've seen over the last twenty years, prairie dogs are being pushed out to little patches by the roadside. If we don't do something, they're going to disappear from our landscape in the next ten or fifteen years."

Rifkin came to Denver in the 1980s, when it was still possible to see prairie dogs cavorting on stretches of empty land along Parker Road. He got involved in a doomed battle to save one large colony that led to multiple presentations before snickering Arapahoe County commissioners. "I watched a Texas corporation level the place," he recalls somberly. "It just turned into another apartment complex. I saw them die. Then I saw it was happening all over."

One of the greatest obstacles to moving urban colonies out of the path of development is a 1999 law, Senate Bill 99-111, which requires that any relocation of prairie dogs from one county to another be approved by the county commissioners of the receiving area — even if the transfer is between private landowners. In practice, that approval is almost impossible to obtain; most of the Front Range counties have no place to put their own problem colonies, let alone someone else's, and rural commissioners fear the wrath of farming and livestock interests. But Rifkin is encouraged by recent efforts made by one of the great prairie-dog slayers in the state: the Regional Transportation District.

"RTD is the only organization that's actually stepped up and relocated a couple of small colonies," he says.

Like the Colorado Department of Transportation, RTD has a policy in place designed to minimize the impact of its construction projects on prairie dogs. It involves avoiding disturbance of active colonies whenever feasible — but as the light-rail lines to DIA and Golden and along I-225 continue to develop, crews have encountered numerous dog towns. The next alternative is to seek options for live relocation, but that's proven to be an even more formidable challenge.
"It's difficult to move prairie dogs in Colorado," notes RTD FasTracks spokesman Kevin Flynn, who describes the 1999 law as "the Mann Act for prairie dogs -- you can't transport them across county lines for immoral purposes."

Yet the agency has managed two in-county relocations in recent months. A 108-dog colony sitting on what will become the Peoria station for the airport line was moved to twelve acres of land that RTD already owns near Barr Lake. "There's an eagle refuge out there," Flynn says. "I told the wildlife advocates we worked with that this would be like Country Buffet for the eagles. Their response was, 'That's the way it's supposed to be.'"

The second move was more complicated. A contractor charged with relocating a 150-dog colony near the Iliff station on the I-225 line looked into fifty prospective transfer sites before reaching an arrangement with the State Land Board to place them on land owned by the board in the former Lowry Bombing and Gunnery Range. News accounts of the deal suggested that the critters were being dumped near ranches and homes, prompting howls of protest from nearby residents.

But they got it wrong, Flynn says. "People who don't like prairie dogs at all object to anybody moving them anywhere," he adds. "This site is not near any homes, ranches or farms. It's a site designated for shortgrass prairie ecosystem restoration."

Despite these modest successes, RTD has been unable to line up more relocation sites for colonies found at other future FasTracks stations. For the most part, the agency has had to rely on its third option -- trapping prairie dogs, euthanizing them with carbon dioxide, and donating their stubby little carcasses as chow to a raptor-recovery program.

"We have killed substantially more than we have relocated," Flynn acknowledges. "We have killed hundreds, if not thousands, at this stage. That's just the way the system works right now."

******

Three months ago, Patricia Olson assembled a panel of wildlife experts at Colorado State University to explore the possibility of developing a prairie-dog park in Stapleton, possibly as part of the "natural park" that was supposed to be built right around the corner from her home. The idea was to come up with a pilot project that could demonstrate innovative, non-lethal techniques for managing a small urban colony, while also providing an educational opportunity for the children of Stapleton to learn something about the wildlife in their own back yards.

The panel, which included several of the state's leading researchers on prairie dogs and their ecosystem, had a lot of difficult issues to consider. Because the space they have to operate in is so limited, urban colonies tend to be much denser than those in unfragmented habitat, containing from two to five times more rodents per acre than you would find in a rural environment. The effect on the landscape can be dramatic: a rapid denuding of vegetation and creation of a moonscape pockmarked by burrows. That, in turn, leads to erosion of topsoil, dust problems and conditions that invite invasive plant species such as bindweed. ("They take bindweed and make it into little bales and take it into their burrows for the winter," Olson notes.) When the vegetation is all gone, the prairie dogs tend to spread out farther, in search of greener pastures they can transform into Mars.

It's a daunting situation, but hardly an unmanageable one, the experts agreed. Vegetation could be surveyed, monitored and supplemented, if necessary. While the existing plants would definitely take a hit, irrigation could help shore up native species and minimize the dust problem. Proper landscaping and barriers, including the use of ornamental tall grasses at the site's boundary, would help discourage migration; prairie dogs like to erect burrows in short-cropped areas so they have clear sightlines -- which is why golf courses and ballfields are so alluring to them.
Population control could be achieved through the use of raptor perches — tall poles that invite hawks and eagles to come check out the buffet — or even, if necessary, the use of a contraceptive that has to be injected but lasts two years. There are also observation decks and playground equipment available that allow children to observe prairie dogs without having any direct exposure to them.

Encouraged by the discussion, Olson prepared a paper summarizing the panel's recommendations. "We've got these twenty-acre plots left all over the Front Range," she says. "We could manage these small areas and significantly help the overall population."

She presented the paper to Forest City, Denver Parks and Recreation and other groups involved in Stapleton's open-space planning, hoping it would receive serious consideration. After all, the Stapleton development plan, known as the "Green Book" — published in 1995, on recycled paper with soy-based inks — had been a kind of environmental manifesto, promising a "rich and diverse" open-space system that would include "extensive natural areas that support significant wildlife and allow the restoration of native plant and animal communities that have been displaced and eliminated. This focus represents a return to Denver's natural heritage as a city established on the prairie." And was there a more displaced species, a more valuable source of benefit to 150 other types of plants and animals, than the prairie dog?

But so far, no agency has adopted any part of Olson's proposal. "I can't get anyone to listen," she says. "They say, 'That's not part of our strategy.'"

Forest City spokesman Gleason concedes that saving the prairie dog is pretty low on the to-do list of what has been Denver's biggest and most challenging infill project. "There will continue to be prairie-dog habitat at Stapleton," he says. "The question is where and if we can support additional prairie dogs. We're trying to do a lot of things that we think are part of the original vision for Stapleton: affordable housing, good quality schools, things of that sort. Prairie dogs are not one of the highest priorities."

While he has heard complaints from activists about the exterminations, Gleason says he also gets calls from "people who are out running the trails and saying the prairie dogs are destroying the area."

There's clearly been a shift at the corporate level from the vision of a reclaimed natural area espoused in the Green Book. Jonathan Ratner, Forest City's "vice president of sustainability initiatives," is on the board of directors of the Humane Society of the United States, the parent organization of the Prairie Dog Coalition — but his company now takes the position that killing off prairie dogs is the best way to protect its substantial investment in well-groomed, recreation-oriented open space. The company has already shelled out $27 million to create more than 800 acres of regional open space; a total of more than 1,100 acres of open space is projected at buildout.

In a recent letter to Representative Diana DeGette regarding Olson's proposal, a Forest City official explained that the original Stapleton prairie-dog management plan "was often found to be unworkable because prairie dog habitat is generally not compatible with the type of open space that can be enjoyed by humans... We believe prairie dog habitat preservation is best suited for the 15,000 acres of the Rocky Mountain Arsenal Wildlife Refuge, which is immediately adjacent to Stapleton on our northern and northeastern boundaries."

Pam Wanek, a Prairie Dog Coalition advisory boardmember, says that Forest City has reneged on the design of Stapleton as portrayed in the Green Book. Recent illustrations of what the buildout will look like at its north end indicate residential construction right up to the border of the Rocky Mountain Arsenal, where a green buffer zone was previously indicated. "That would have been the place to have their Stapleton prairie dogs," she says. "As far as I know, not any of that piece has been purchased for open space, and Stapleton isn't making any commitment to have prairie dogs out there."
Gleason responds that Forest City remains committed to the same total figure of 1,116 acres of "trunk open space" touted in the original plan. The changes simply reflect "a more beneficial arrangement" at the yet-to-be-built north end to allow more access to open space by residents, he says.

The developer's argument that it doesn't need to preserve prairie dogs is a retreat from its promises, Wanek contends. "If you want to protect your wildlife, it has to be done locally," she says. "That's where the laws are."

One place in Stapleton that prairie dogs are likely to survive is the Bluff Lake Nature Center, a 123-acre wildlife refuge that was once a designated airport crash zone. Billed as an "outdoor classroom" and visited by thousands of schoolkids each year, the center offers examples of a variety of riparian, wetlands, woodland and prairie habitats — and a prairie-dog colony eager to expand its range into other habitats.

"If we didn't manage them, they would destroy the viability of the other habitats," says Bluff Lake executive director Jeff Lamontagne. But to date, Lamontagne explains, that management has consisted largely of devising barriers and filling in outlying burrows to encourage them to stay in their own area: "We'll do what we have to do to satisfy our obligations, but we believe there are ways to do it on our site without thinning their ranks."

Elsewhere, the prairie dogs have not been welcomed. They've been blamed for an estimated $100,000 in damage to a greenbelt buffer between I-70 and the Northfield shopping center. And their presence in Stapleton's Westerly Creek area has prompted trapping and euthanizing by Denver Parks and Recreation, in an effort to protect the native plantings in the area and the manicured Central Park just west of the creek.

DPR deputy director Gilmore points out that he inherited the problem from the developer, after the completed park area was turned over to Denver to manage. "It was designed as a naturalized area," he says. "I won't say 'natural area' — it's more of an open-space area with native plants. Between Forest City and us, we've invested hundreds of thousands of dollars in creating these open-space areas, and prairie dogs have moved in and clear-cut everything."

Gilmore has a degree in wildlife biology and is understandably touchy about the chemical-warfare program. "I haven't made any decisions lightly," he says. "I love prairie dogs as much as anyone else. But we're trying to protect the landscape that we created. We couldn't move them; it's not easy to relocate anywhere in the state. We couldn't leave them, because they were eating everything. We decided we could trap them and euthanize them on site."

Although Parks and Recreation has been relying on carbon monoxide for the Westerly Creek colony, Gilmore won't rule out the use of aluminum phosphide, which he says is "more efficient" in some situations. But he also plans to use more creative solutions on the south end of the open-space area, including raptor gitter at the edge of the Aurora-Denver border there.

But DeLaup, the city's former wildlife ecologist, anticipates that it's going to be difficult to keep prairie dogs out of Westerly Creek, which connects with the Sand Creek Regional Greenway. "The prairie dogs have all sorts of pathways back into the open space," she notes. "Unless they concrete it over, they're going to have the animals back again."

If the deal to trade the Hentzell Park natural area to Denver Public Schools goes through, DeLaup predicts removal problems there, too. "That ecosystem is not as far along as Westerly Creek, but it has good connectivity," she says. "It's going to be a superhighway for a lot of wildlife. Some of our best historic prairie in the city of Denver is in that area. But with new construction, you're breaking up the old pathways and increasing wildlife conflict."

If the engineers of open space can effectively remove prairie dogs from the scene, DeLaup expects that other wildlife will disappear, too, if they can't find other food sources. When she was fielding calls
about street-roaming coyotes terrorizing pets a few years ago, the area registering the fewest complaints was around Hentzel Park — where, presumably, the coyotes were feasting on prairie dogs instead of cats.

RTD's Flynn recalls how a transit crew doing utility relocation near Havana and Smith Road enjoyed watching a golden eagle that perched in a cottonwood there. As part of its work, the crew euthanized a prairie-dog colony that was in the way. Once the colony was gone, they never saw the eagle again.

"Citizens in metro Denver place a high value on wildlife in general," Flynn notes. "When you see a fox in your yard or a hawk circling overhead, it reminds you of what you value about Colorado. But the reason they're circling is that they've seen a prairie dog in the area."

Prairie Dog Coalition director Sterling-Krank says it will take a fight on several fronts to change the prairie dog's dismal status, from amendments to the 1999 law to education to economic incentives for developers to relocate them. "We need some kind of regional preserves," she says. "We don't have any synergy in Colorado for prairie-dog ecosystem protection — and without it, we're looking at this whole wave of secondary extinction. It's not just about the prairie dogs. It's about the burrowing owls, the ferruginous hawks, the black-footed ferrets, and all these other species that depend on the prairie dogs for survival. They're kind of like the canary in the coal mine."

Unlike canaries, though, prairie dogs seem drawn to danger and adventure, to the green zone of death. Stapleton may not love them, but they love Stapleton. After the long and painful campaign along Westerly Creek, after the trapping and gassing had concluded, park workers began breaking out equipment, spreading straw and working on rehabilitating the area. Then mounds arose and holes erupted in the work area, manned by yipping sentries.

They're back.
Your Parks

Working out parks business

New Denver permit rules drafted

by Josie Klemaier
YourHub Staff

Groups that use Denver parks as venues for their business this summer will need a permit, currently being drafted just for them by Denver Parks and Recreation.

Some business owners say the drafts of the permits will be prohibitive, while others have already moved to parks outside the city.

“I saw this park being built when moved into Stapleton and at that time I thought, ‘What a wonderful way to integrate health in my family’s life,’” said Caren Elenowitz, owner of Stroller Strides Denver, which meets in Central Park.

Now, every time we go by the park I get this pit in my stomach.”

Her business is part of a franchise and was among those notified in fall 2012 of an existing city ordinance requiring a permit for all commercial activity within the park system.

Permits have always been required for sale of goods or services, such as food vendors and paddle-boarding at Washington Park, but workout groups fell into an unregulated gray area. Businesses were told that DPR wouldn’t force them without a permit until new regulations were written.

DPR has drafted new policies for the Outdoor Fee-Based Activities, or POFA, after collecting feedback from stakeholders. Committee representatives from registered neighborhood organizations, DPR advisory board members and businesses, including Elenowitz.

The premise for this whole process is that this is public space and a public gain, that somebody should be able to make money off of use of public space without compensation to the city and without regulation,” DPR Director Fred Weiss told the advisory board at its April 11 meeting.

In the draft, each Denver city park is categorized as either tier 1 or based on popularity, according to Weiss. Tier 1 parks are generally more utilized and therefore more expensive at $22.50 for a 90-minute permit in the summer. Pricing is also based on peak and off-peak hours and seasons; a tier 2 park permit is $18.50 for 90 minutes during the summer and $14 in the winter.

Parks are also designated a number of permit zones based on space and what their infrastructure can support. Some parks, such as Cheesman, have yet to be integrated into the permit system.

Review the complete draft and zone maps at bit.ly/17eskKr.

At an April 18 public input meeting — one of four held the week of April 15 — Weiss addressed feedback from attendees, mostly Stroller Strides members.

“It seems to me that the underpinning, the underlying issue is the extent of the fee. All these other things are sort of side issues that are potentially resolved if the fee was more affordable from your perspective. Is that accurate? I think that’s what I’m hearing.”

“Absolutely,” one attendee said, and others nodded in agreement.

Weiss emphasized that DPR would consider all feedback before presenting a final draft to the DPR advisory board for approval at a public hearing May 9.

Until then, the public can e-mail input to parksandrec@denvergov.org with “outdoor fee based groups” in the subject line.

Cindy Johnstone, president of Friends and Neighbors of Washington Park, also sat in on the POFA stakeholders committee. She said her group was mainly focused on creating well-defined protocol and enforcement for workout groups.

“They do have an impact on the community, parking usage and potentiality of noise,” she said. “So those were the things that were important to be able to have a policy to get back to the manager about.”
Grand opening of KB Homes’ Conservatory Green from LOW $3$s

Single-family living in Stapleton’s newest neighborhood:

One of KB Homes’ newest models, the Mirabella, is featured in Conservatory Green. KB Homes new model home located in the Stapleton neighborhood.

KB Homes, a leading homebuilder in the Denver area, is excited to introduce its newest model home, the Mirabella, located in the Stapleton neighborhood.

The Mirabella offers everything you could want in a single-family home, including an open concept floor plan, spacious bedrooms, and a beautiful kitchen.

Visit the model today and discover why KB Homes is the perfect choice for your new home.

www.kbhomes.com

KB HOME
3850 S. Dairy Ashford Rd.
Houston, TX 77099

PHONE: 832-357-1119

WEB: www.kbhomes.com

Price: $350,000

When: July 10 to July

Location: North of 34th Street, West of 34th Avenue

More info: www.kbhomes.com

[Image of the model home and information about the grand opening]